

People trained in medicine, not accountants should make life and death medical decisions. Every patient should know their doctor is free to give his or her best advice and decide the best course of treatment, without restriction from the insurance company.

Every patient should know that specialty care is available if needed.

Citizens should know when they go to the emergency room, that their insurance will pay instead of haggling over the bill and denying payment afterwards. The last thing someone needs while rushing a sick child to the emergency room is a gnawing worry about payment.

Women should be able to visit their OB/Gyn without going through a gatekeeper.

People with longterm illnesses also should be able to see their specialists without getting a referral every time. People pay premiums to get health care, not a runaround.

Some people say this is radical socialized medicine, but I think people see through that. This argument is an old red herring and it is starting to smell.

What we are talking about with this Patients Bill of Rights is just the health care we always thought we had, but now it is being taken away. I have spent decades pushing medical research and building the medical research base in South Carolina. I was trying to build expertise in life-saving treatments in my home state so my constituents could be cared for, not so they could be denied and sent somewhere else on a day's notice.●

#### BEST WISHES TO DR. DAVID A. SPENCER

● Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I rise today to congratulate Dr. David A. Spencer, President and CEO of Walsh College, on his new appointment as president of the newly formed Michigan Virtual University.

Dr. Spencer has brought new ideas, enthusiasm, and a love for innovative learning to Walsh College. His vision of the future of Walsh College had no limits. And while he helped make Walsh College a world-class business institution, he made sure to showcase the brilliance and innovation of the students and faculty. This is a man who is not only creative and thoughtful, but willing to share credit that he deserves with many, many others.

I, personally, will hate to see David leave Walsh College. He has been an invaluable partner to me and my office in our efforts to reach out to and learn more about the Michigan business community. We worked hand-in-hand on an annual small business conference through which I have gathered extremely valuable information about the needs of the business community. On many occasions, I have been able to use the information I gathered at these conferences as examples during legislative debates. These conferences have

also helped illustrate to me the most important legislative priorities of the business community. David Spencer was invaluable in putting together these innovative, informative conferences.

David is one of those people who believes anything is possible through technology. I am confident that he is the right person to lead the Michigan Virtual University. Walsh College will surely miss him. My staff and I will miss having him here, but I am hopeful that his new position as president of the Michigan Virtual University we will have many new opportunities to work together.

I wish Dr. David Spencer much continued success.●

#### CONCERN OVER RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN IRAQ

● Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, today, along with Senators MCCAIN, LIEBERMAN, HUTCHISON and twenty-three other Senators, I am sending a letter to the President to express our concern over Iraq's actions and urging the President "after consulting with Congress, and consistent with the U.S. Constitution and laws, to take necessary actions (including, if appropriate, air and missile strikes on suspect Iraqi sites) to respond effectively to the threat posed by Iraq's refusal to end its weapons of mass destruction programs."

At the outset, I believe it would be useful to review the events that led up to the requirement for the destruction of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs. At the time that Iraq unlawfully invaded and occupied its neighbor Kuwait, the UN Security Council imposed economic and weapons sanctions on Iraq.

After Iraqi forces had been ousted from Kuwait by the U.S.-led coalition and active hostilities had ended, but while coalition forces were still occupying Iraqi territory, the UN Security Council, acting under Chapter VII of the UN Charter, conducted a review of Iraq's history with weapons of mass destruction and made a number of decisions in April 1991 to achieve its goals, including a formal cease fire.

With respect to Iraq's history, the Security Council noted Iraq's threat during the Gulf War to use chemical weapons in violation of its treaty obligations, Iraq's prior use of chemical weapons, Iraq's use of ballistic missiles in unprovoked attacks, and reports that Iraq attempted to acquire materials for a nuclear weapons program contrary to its treaty obligations.

After reviewing Iraq's history, the Security Council decided that "Iraq shall unconditionally accept the destruction, removal, or rendering harmless, under international supervision" of its weapons of mass destruction programs and all ballistic missiles with a range greater than 150 kilometers and conditioned the lifting of the economic and weapons sanctions on Iraq's meet-

ing its obligations, including those relating to its weapons of mass destruction programs.

To implement those decisions, the Security Council authorized the formation of a Special Commission, which has come to be known as UNSCOM, to "carry out immediate on-site inspection of Iraq's biological, chemical and missile capabilities, based on Iraq's declarations and the designation of any additional locations by the Special Commission itself" and requested the Director General of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) to carry out similar responsibilities for Iraq's nuclear program. Additionally, the UN Security Council decided that Iraq shall unconditionally undertake not to use, develop, construct or acquire weapons of mass destruction and called for UNSCOM to conduct ongoing monitoring and verification of Iraq's compliance. The detailed modalities for these actions were agreed upon by an exchange of letters in May 1991 that were signed by the UN Secretary General, the Executive Chairman of UNSCOM and the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Iraq.

Thus, Iraq unconditionally accepted the UN Security Council's demands and thereby achieved a formal cease-fire and the withdrawal of coalition forces from its territory.

Mr. President, UNSCOM has sought to carry out its responsibilities in as expeditious and effective way as possible. UNSCOM Executive Chairman Richard Butler and his teams, however, have been confronted with Iraqi obstacles, lack of cooperation and lies. As UNSCOM has noted in its own document entitled "UNSCOM Main Achievements": "UNSCOM has uncovered significant undeclared proscribed weapons programmes, destroyed elements of those programmes so far identified, including equipment, facilities and materials, and has been attempting to map out and verify the full extent of these programmes in the face of serious efforts to deceive and conceal. UNSCOM also continues to try to verify Iraq's illegal unilateral destruction activities. The investigation of such undeclared activities is crucial to the verification of Iraq's declarations on its proscribed weapons programmes."

Mr. President, I will not dwell on the numerous instances of Iraq's failure to comply with its obligations. I would note, however, that in accepting the February 23, 1998 Memorandum of Understanding that was signed by the UN Secretary General and Iraq's Deputy Foreign Minister, that ended Iraq's prior refusal to allow UNSCOM and the IAEA to perform their missions, the UN Security Council warned Iraq that it will face the "severest consequences" if it fails to adhere to the commitments it reaffirmed in the MOU. Suffice it to say that on August 5, 1998, Iraq declared that it was suspending all cooperation with UNSCOM and the IAEA, except some limited monitoring activities.